## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/567,552	HARDING ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
SOPHIE HON	1794	

	SOPHIE HON	1794			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 03 August 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited (with appeal fee) in compliance with appeal fee) in compliance with a second compl	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31, or	hich places the (3) a Request		
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	n. LED WITHIN TWO		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as		
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the company of the compa</li></ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
AMENDMENTS					
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed)</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for		
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	опеsponding number of finally reje	ected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			,		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmen	t canceling the		
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an ex	planation of		
Claim(s) cliewed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ıl and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.		
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See attachment.</u></li> </ol>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:		
<ul> <li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (</li> <li>13. ☐ Other: Attachment and PTO-892.</li> </ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
/David R. Sample/					
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794					